Single Bench

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 334 /2005

PETITIONER :

Rajkumar Gaur
S/O Sh. P. R Gaur
Aged 26 Years
R/o Senior MIG-490, Sector 1
Pandit Dindayal Upadhyay
Nagar, Raipur, Chattisgarh

P.R. 31/1/05
Presented by Shri Yashwant
dated 25.01.05

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1) Pandit Ravishankar University
Through Registrar
Raipur (C.G)

2) Vice-Chancellor
Pandit Ravishankar University
Raipur (C.G)

23/01/05

Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

35

HJ-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... wp 394/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

4-2-2005

Heard Shri Yatindra Nath Mishra, counsel for the petitioner.

The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner had appeared in the examination of M. Sc. Previous in the year 2004 and secured 178 out of 500 in theory papers and 170 out of 250 in practical paper and he was declared fail. As the petitioner secured two marks less than the required passing percentage 36%, the petitioner applied for re-totalling and revaluation of papers No.1 and 5 and result of re-totalling and revaluation was published on 27-11-2004.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that as per the expectation marks have not been awarded to him.

In order to make out a case the petitioner has to establish a strong case that his academic career has always been very brilliant and he attempted all the questions, but as per the result the numbers awarded to him were not according to the answers. Merely, on expectation it cannot be said that even on revaluation the answer sheet of the petitioner was not correctly revalued. Even otherwise, looking to the marks obtained by the petitioner he was not able to obtain 36% marks.

Therefore, in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the matter of **Pramod Kumar Shrivastava V. Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna and others** reported in 2004 AIR SCW

B

(38)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	4541, I am of the opinion that the petitioner has not been able to make out a case for interference by this Court. Therefore, the petition is liable to be dismissed and it is accordingly dismissed. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.296/2005 and I.A. No.710/2005 stand disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Sarve